#### PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - <u>psic23@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



## Sh. Bhupinder Singh, (9877918040; 9463781009)

Press Reporter, Front News India, S/o Sh. Narinder Singh Shah, VPO Thothiya Via Khalchia, Tehsil Baba Bakala, Distt Amritsar. Versus

.....Appellant/Complainant

#### **Public Information Officer**

......Respondent O/o XEN, Provincial Division, PWD B&R, Amritsar.

# First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, PWD B&R, Patiala.

# Appeal Case No.1061 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	30-10-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	03-12-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	_

Present: Appellant: Sh. Bhupinder Singh Respondent: Sh. Rohit Arora (Sr. Asst.), 9780083300

### ORDER:

- 1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 04.03.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
- Respondent, Sh. Rohit Arora stated that the sought information is very voluminous or is in general form, which makes it difficult to collect the information and furnish it within the stipulated time. He further added that appellant may come for record inspection and identify documents whatever is required.
- 3. After going through the RTI application, the Commission observes that the sought information enumerated in RTI applicationis not specific and vague. Under Section 7(9) in The Right To Information Act, 2005. (9) An information shall ordinarily be provided in the form in which it is sought unless it would disproportionately divert the resources of the public authority or would be detrimental to the safety or preservation of the record in question. Therefore, the appellant is advised to ask specific information point wise

## Appeal Case No.1061 of 2021 (Cisco Webex Proceedings)

4. In fact, section 6(1) of the **RTI** Act very clearly states that the **information** seeker has to specify the particulars of the **information** sought by him or her". In view of these observations, the commission finds that the respondent is not obliged to provide a response where the **information** sought is **vague** and not specific.

Therefore, the respondent authority is justified in denying the information sought, hence the case is **Disposed of** accordingly.

Chandigarh Dated: 19.07.2021 Sd/-(Maninder Singh Patti) State Information Commissioner, Pb.